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#### Abstract

This paper presents a wire parallel mechanism for full coordinate measuring of industrial robot. The mechanism is constructed with six parallel wires that act as links. The position and orientation of a robot end-effector are obtained from the wire lengths. The equations of the forward kinematics are solved by a Newton-Raphson method, and the unique solution is determined from the geometric configuration of the mechanism. A method to estimate the workspace is presented. Through simulations, it is verified that the proposed mechanism can measure a robot pose over a large workspace, and can be used effectively for full coordinate measuring of a robot with little cost and effort.
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## 1. Introduction

Coordinate measurements of the position and orientation of an industrial robot are necessary for calibrate to compensation for parameter error of the robot to improve accuracy.

Systems for measuring a robot pose include theodolite (Whitney, 1986; Judd, 1990), laser system (Gilby, 1982), LineAr Variable Differential Transformer (LVDT) (Zupancic, 1994), Coordinate Measuring Machine (CMM) (Renders, 1991; Everett, 1995) and vision(Van Albada, 1995; Driels, 1991) etc.

However, these methods have limited accuracy and have difficulty sensing six degrees of freedom simultaneously. Laser systems and CMM have a high accuracy ( $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{~mm} \sim 0.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), but are very sensitive to the environment and have difficulty with simultaneous multiple degrees of freedom. A theodolite is very cumbersome to use, and vision systems have low accuracy ( $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and are usually limited to three degrees of freedom measurements.

[^0]Any method for measuring a robot pose should satisfy the performance criteria suggested in ISO 9283 and 9946. Inagaki et al. (1989) indicate that to satisfy the above criteria will require the pose measuring device to identify six degrees of freedom with good accuracy and resolution in an efficient and cost effective manner.

Studies on a multiple degree of freedom mechanism using wires have been done recently. Ming (1990) has proposed the relationship between the degrees of freedom and the number of wires, while Kawamura et al. (1993) have used the wire in a master robot for teleoperation. Ming et al. (1994a, 1994b, 1995) have manufactured a wire parallel mechanism, called "OPT-FOLLOW", and have shown the feasibility of using wires through static and dynamic analyses. However the above mechanism is a Completely Restrained Wire Parallel Mechanism (CRWPM) that uses four wires to measure three degrees of freedom ( $x, y, \phi$ ); it has been shown that $\mathrm{N}+1$ wires are required to measure N degrees-of-freedom.

In this paper, we propose a wire parallel mechanism for measuring the position and orientation of a robot end-effector. The mechanism is designed as a parallel mechanism with six wire links. The robot pose, that is the position and
orientation, is obtained from the forward kinematics using the six wire lengths. Also, a method to estimate the measuring space of the mechanism is presented.

## 2. Wire Parallel Mechanism

Figure 1 shows the proposed wire parallel mechanism for the full coordinate measuring of an industrial robot.

The pose of a robot, that is, the position and orientation of the center of the robot attachment, is calculated from the measured six wire lengths. The roll, pitch and yaw angles of the robot attachment are limited to within $\pm 90^{\circ}$ about the referencing frame to prevent a tangle of the wires.

In Fig. 1, each end of the robot attachment, which can rotate about 3 axes $(x, y$ and $z)$, is connected by two wires. This "T" configured attachment is designed to determine the position of the center of the horizontal bar and the orientation from the vertical bar. To obtain a unique solution the forward kinematics, a level vial which can detect the incline direction is attached in the plane above the robot attachment. The wire length is measured by the encoder when the robot


Fig. 1 The wire parallel mechanism for full coordinate measuring of industrial robot.
attachment moves.

## 3. Kinematic Analysis

The forward kinematics of a parallel mechanism is more difficult to solve than the inverse kinematics. In the case of a Stewart platform, which is a typical parallel mechanism with six degrees of freedom, it is very difficult not only to solve the forward kinematics analytically but also to obtain a unique solution in a large workspace because of the high order nonlinear polynomials that occur.(Liu, 1993; Husain, 1994). In this paper, we are going to solve the forward and inverse kinematics using the wire lengths measured in the parallel wire mechanism, and determine the unique solution based on the geometric configuration of the mechanism.

In Fig. 2, it is straightforward to determine the wire lengths, $l_{i}(i=1 \sim 6)$, as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}=l_{1}^{2}  \tag{1}\\
& x_{1}^{2}+\left(y_{1}-b\right)^{2}+z_{1}^{2}=l_{2}^{2}  \tag{2}\\
& \left(x_{3}-a / 2\right)^{2}+y_{3}^{2}+z_{3}^{2}=l_{3}^{2}  \tag{3}\\
& \left(x_{3}-a / 2\right)^{2}+\left(y_{3}-b\right)^{2}+z_{3}^{2}=l_{4}^{2}  \tag{4}\\
& \left(x_{2}-a\right)^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}=l_{5}^{2}  \tag{5}\\
& \left(x_{2}-a\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}-b\right)^{2}+z_{2}^{2}=l_{6}^{2} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(x, y, z),\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)$ and $\left(x_{3}, y_{3}, z_{3}\right)$ are the coordinates of $P, P_{1}$,


Fig. 2 Analytic model of the wire parallel mechanism.
$P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ respectively, $a$ and $b$ are the dimensions of the base plate, and $r$ and $d$ are $\overline{P P_{2}}(=$ $P P_{1}$ ) and $P P_{3}$, respectively.

### 3.1 Inverse Kinematics

The analytic wire lengths, $l_{i}(i=1 \sim 6)$, can be determined from the coordinates of $P_{1}, \quad P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ in Eqs. (1) $\sim(6)$. For now, $P_{i}(i=1 \sim 3)$, which are the coordinates of each end of the robot attachment, are obtained from the given coordinate, $\underline{P}$, about the reference frame as below

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{P_{i}}=\underline{P}+R_{\phi, \theta, \psi^{u}} \underline{P_{i}}(i=1,2,3) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{u} \underline{P_{i}}$ represents the position of each end of the robot attachment in the body frame ( $O^{\prime} X^{\prime} Y^{\prime} Z^{\prime}$ ), and $R_{\phi, \theta, \Psi}$ is the rotation matrix about the reference frame.

### 3.2 Forward Kinematics

The forward kinematics is the mapping from the wire lengths, $l_{i}\left(i_{i}=1 \sim 6\right)$, to the position and orientation of the robot attachment. From Eqns. (1)-(6) $y_{i}(i=1 \sim 3)$ can be determined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=\frac{1}{2 b}\left(l_{1}^{2}-l_{2}^{2}+b^{2}\right)  \tag{8}\\
& y_{2}=\frac{1}{2 b}\left(l_{5}^{2}-l_{6}^{2}+b^{2}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& y_{3}=\frac{1}{2 b}\left(l_{3}^{2}-l_{4}^{2}+b^{2}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Eqs. (8) ~ (10) into Eqs. (1), (3) and (5), $z_{i}(i=1 \sim 3)$ can be expressed in terms of only the wire lengths and the $x_{i}(i=1-3)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}= \pm \sqrt{l_{1}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}-\left\{\frac{1}{2 b}\left(l_{1}^{2}-l_{2}^{2}+b^{2}\right)\right\}^{2}}  \tag{11}\\
& z^{2}= \pm \sqrt{l_{5}^{2}-\left(x_{2}-a\right)^{2}-\left\{\frac{1}{2 b}\left(l_{5}^{2}-l_{6}^{2}+b^{2}\right)\right\}^{2}} \\
& z_{3}= \pm \sqrt{l_{3}^{2}-\left(x_{3}-\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2}-\left\{\frac{1}{2 b}\left(l_{3}^{2}-l_{4}^{2}+b^{2}\right)\right\}^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The coordinates of $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ must also satisfy the constraints

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)^{2}=4 r^{2}  \tag{14}\\
& \left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{1}-y_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{1}-z_{3}\right)^{2}=r^{2}+d^{2}  \tag{15}\\
& \left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}-y_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{2}-z_{3}\right)^{2}=r^{2}+d^{2} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Eqs. (8) ~(13) into Eqs. (14) ~ (16) yields three nonlinear algebraic equations in three variables $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& -2 x_{1} x_{2}+2 a x_{2}-2 \sqrt{\left(A-x_{1}^{2}\right)\left\{B-\left(x_{2}-a\right)^{2}\right\}} \\
& -2 \sqrt{\left(A-l_{1}^{2}\right)\left(B-l_{5}^{2}\right)}-a^{2}-4 r^{2}+l_{1}^{2}+l_{5}^{2}=0  \tag{17}\\
& \quad-2 x_{1} x_{3}+a x_{3}-2 \sqrt{\left(A-x_{1}^{2}\right)\left\{C-\left(x_{3}-\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2}\right\}} \\
& -2 \sqrt{\left(A-l_{1}^{2}\right)\left(C-l_{3}^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}-r^{2}-d^{2}+l_{1}^{2}+l_{3}^{2}} \\
& =0  \tag{18}\\
& -2 x_{2} x_{3}+2 a x_{2}+a x_{3} \\
& -2 \sqrt{\left\{B-\left(x_{2}-a\right)^{2}\right\}\left\{C-\left(x_{3}-\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2}\right\}} \\
& -2 \sqrt{\left(B-l_{5}^{2}\right)\left(C-l_{3}^{2}\right)}-\frac{5}{4} a^{2}-r^{2}-d^{2}+l_{3}^{2}+l_{5}^{2} \\
& =0 \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=l_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4 b^{2}}\left(l_{1}^{2}-l_{2}^{2}+b^{2}\right) \\
& B=l_{5}^{2}-\frac{1}{4 b^{2}}\left(l_{5}^{2}-l_{6}^{2}+b^{2}\right) \\
& C=l_{3}^{2}-\frac{1}{4 b^{2}}\left(l_{3}^{2}-l_{4}^{2}+b^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since Eqs. (17) ~(19) are highly nonlinear simultaneous equations, it is in general impossible to solve them explicitly, and only numerical solutions can be obtained. In this paper, the Newton-Raphson method is used, which converges quadratically in the vicinity of a solution.

### 3.3 Determination of the Unique Solution

Eqs. (17) ~ (19) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0  \tag{20}\\
& f_{13}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)=0  \tag{2I}\\
& f_{23}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=0 \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

with only two variables involved in each of the $f_{i j}$. Since the highest order of each $f_{i j}$ is two, there may exist up to eight sets of ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ ) that satisfy the nonlinear equations (20) (22). Because the measuring range $\left( \pm 90^{\circ}\right)$ of the roll, pitch and yaw angles about the reference frame must be considered, $x_{1} \leq x_{2}$. Thus only two solutions of the eight satisfy the range of the configuration.

To extract the unique solution from the two possible solutions within the allowable range, a
level vial is used to determine the sign of the difference $z_{1}-z_{2}$. Figure 3 illustrates that the two solutions can be differentiated by the sign of this difference $\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)$ when $y_{1}=y_{2}=y_{3}$.

In Fig. 3, $l_{i j}$ is a virtual length determined by $l_{i}$ and $l_{j}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& l_{12}=l_{1} \sqrt{1-\left(\frac{l_{1}^{2}+b^{2}-l_{2}^{2}}{2 b l_{1}}\right)^{2}}  \tag{23}\\
& l_{34}=l_{3} \sqrt{1-\left(\frac{l_{3}^{2}+b^{2}-l_{4}^{2}}{2 b l_{3}}\right)^{2}}  \tag{24}\\
& l_{56}=l_{5} \sqrt{1-\left(\frac{l_{5}^{2}+b^{2}-l_{6}^{2}}{2 b l_{5}}\right)^{2}} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Accordingly, the position of the robot attachment is calculated from the coordinates of $P_{1}, P_{2}$, and $P_{3}$, which are obtained from Eqs. (8) ~ (13):

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)  \tag{26}\\
& y=\frac{1}{2}\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)  \tag{27}\\
& z=\frac{1}{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Meanwhile, since the direction vector $X^{\prime}$ and $\underline{Z^{\prime}}$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\left\|\underline{P_{2}}-\underline{P}\right\|}\left(\underline{P_{2}}-\underline{P}\right)  \tag{29}\\
& \underline{Z^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{\left\|\underline{P}-\underline{P_{3}}\right\|}\left(\underline{P}-\underline{P_{3}}\right) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

the unknown direction vector $Y^{\prime}$ is calculated by the right hand rule as follow:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{Y^{\prime}}=\underline{Z^{\prime}} \times \underline{X^{\prime}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

So in terms of the rotation matrix $R_{Z, \phi} R_{Y, \theta} R_{X, Y}$ for roll, pitch and yaw angles, Eqs. (29) ~ (31) must satisfy the following:


Fig. 3 The solutions of the robot attachment("T" type) when $y_{1}=y_{2}=y_{3}$.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{\phi} C_{\theta} & C_{\phi} S_{\theta} S_{\psi}-S_{\phi} C_{\phi} & C_{\phi} S_{\theta} C_{\psi}+S_{\phi} S_{\psi}  \tag{32}\\
S_{\phi} C_{\theta} & S_{\phi} S_{\theta} S_{\psi}+C_{\phi} C_{\psi} & S_{\phi} S_{\theta} C_{\psi}-C_{\phi} S_{\psi} \\
-S_{\theta} & C_{\theta} S_{\psi} & C_{\theta} C_{\psi}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $C_{i}=\cos (i), S_{i}=\sin (i)$.
Therefore the roll, pitch and yaw angles, $\phi, \theta$, and $\Psi$, of the robot attachment are determined as follow:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi=A \tan 2\left(\frac{X_{y}^{\prime}}{X_{x}^{\prime}}\right)  \tag{33}\\
& \theta=A \tan 2\left(\frac{-X_{z}^{2}}{\sqrt{1-X_{z}^{\prime 2}}}\right)  \tag{34}\\
& \Psi=A \tan 2\left(\frac{Y_{z}^{\prime}}{Z_{z}^{\prime}}\right) \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. Measuring Space Analysis

The measuring space of the proposed wire parallel mechanism is limited by the wire lengths and the rotation range of the wire group connected with the base plate.

### 4.1 X-Z plane

The measuring space in the $X-Z$ plane is determined by $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, and is maximized when $y_{1}=y_{2}=y_{3}$. Figure 4 shows the model for measuring space analysis in $X-Z$ plane; we analyzed only five zones among the eight zones since it is symmetric about the $x=a / 2$ line.

In Fig. 4, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the angles between $l_{12}$ and $l_{56}$ to the base plate, respectively, $\alpha_{\min }$ and $\beta_{\min }$ are the minimum angles of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ limited by the wire group, respectively. Then $l_{i j}$ have a


Fig. 4 The model for measuring space analysis in $X$ Z plane.

Table 1 List of constrained conditions and variables in each zones.

| Zone | Constrained conditions | Variables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I ( I ' ) | $\begin{gathered} l_{56}=l_{56 \text { max }}, \alpha=\alpha_{\min } \\ \left(l_{12}=l_{12, \max }, \beta=\beta_{\min }\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\alpha^{\prime}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ |
| II ( I\| ') | $\begin{gathered} \alpha=\alpha_{\min }, \alpha^{\prime}=90^{\circ} \\ \left(\beta=\beta_{\min }, \beta^{\prime}=90^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $l_{56}\left(l_{12}\right)$ |
| [\|I ( III' ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | $l_{56}=l_{56 \text { max }}\left(l_{12}=l_{12, \text { max }}\right)$ | $\alpha(\beta)$ |
| V ( $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ ) | $\alpha=\alpha_{\text {min }}, \beta=\beta_{\text {min }}$ | $l_{56}\left(l_{12}\right)$ |
| $V\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ | $l_{12}=l_{12, \text { max }}, l_{56}=l_{56, \text { max }}$ | $\alpha(\beta)$ |

maximum value when $l_{i, \text { max }}=l_{j, \text { max }}$. Table 4 shows the constraint conditions and variables in each zone of Fig. 4.

## A. Zone I

This zone has a region of $\alpha^{\prime}=\left[90^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}\right]$ under the condition $\alpha=\alpha_{\text {min }}$ and $l_{56}=l_{56, \max } . P$ is calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=a-\overline{P P_{A B}} \cos \left\{\alpha_{\min }-\operatorname{Atan} 2\left(S_{I}, C_{I}\right)\right\}  \tag{36}\\
& z=\overline{P P_{A B}} \sin \left\{\alpha_{\mathrm{min}}-\operatorname{Atan} 2\left(S_{I}, C_{I}\right)\right\} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{I}=\frac{r}{P P_{A B}} \sin \alpha^{\prime}, C_{I}=\sqrt{1-S_{I}^{2}} \\
& \frac{P P_{A B}}{}=\sqrt{l_{56, \max }{ }^{2}+r^{2}-2 r l_{56, \max } \cos \alpha^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## B. Zone II

This zone has a region from $l_{56}=l_{56, \text { max }}$ to $\beta=$ $\beta_{\text {min }}$ in condition of $\alpha=\alpha_{\text {min }}$ and $\alpha^{\prime}=90^{\circ}, P$ is calculated by varying $l_{56}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=a-\overline{P P_{A B}} \cos \left\{\alpha_{\min }-A \tan 2\left(r, l_{56}\right)\right\}  \tag{38}\\
& z=\overline{P P_{A B}} \sin \left\{\alpha_{\min }-A \tan 2\left(r, l_{56}\right)\right\} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, $l_{56}$, when $\beta=\beta_{\min }$, is obtained from Eq. (40) by an iteration method:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=180^{\circ}-A \tan 2\left(S_{n}, C_{H}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{I I}= & \sqrt{4 r^{2}+l_{56}^{2}} \sin \left\{\alpha_{\text {min }}-A \sin 2\left(2 r, l_{56}\right)\right\} \\
S_{I I}= & \sqrt{4 r^{2}+l_{56}^{2}} \cos \left\{\alpha_{\text {min }}-A \tan 2\left(2 r, l_{56}\right)\right\} \\
& -a
\end{aligned}
$$

## C. Zone III

This zone has a region from $\alpha=\alpha_{\min }$ to $l_{12}=$
$l_{12, \text { max }}$ in condition of $l_{56}=l_{56, \text { max }}$ and $\alpha^{\prime}=180^{\circ} . P$ is calculated by varying $a$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=a-\left(l_{56, \text { max }}+r\right) \cos \alpha  \tag{41}\\
& z=\left(l_{56, \text { max }}+r\right) \sin \alpha \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, $\alpha$, when $l_{12}=l_{12, \max }$, can be obtained from Eq. (43):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=A \tan 2\left(S_{I I}, S_{I I}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{H I}=\frac{\left(l_{56, \max }+2 r\right)^{2}-l_{12, \max }{ }^{2}+a^{2}}{2 a\left(l_{56, \max }+2 r\right)} \\
& S_{I I}=\sqrt{1-C_{I I I}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## D. Zone IV

This zone has a region from $\alpha^{\prime}=90^{\circ}$ to $x=a /$ 2 in condition of $\alpha=\alpha_{\text {min }}$ and $\beta=\beta_{\text {min }}$. $P$ is calculated by varying $l_{56}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=a-\overline{P_{A B} P} \cos \left\{\alpha_{\min }-A \tan 2\left(S_{I V}, C_{I V}\right)\right\} \\
& z=\overline{P_{A B} P} \sin \left\{\alpha_{\min }-A \tan 2\left(S_{I V}, C_{I V}\right)\right\} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{P_{A B} P}=\sqrt{r^{2}+l_{56}{ }^{2}-2 r l_{56} \cos \alpha^{\prime}} \\
& S_{I V}=\frac{r}{\overline{P_{A B} P}} \sin \alpha^{\prime}, C_{I V}=\sqrt{1-S_{I V}{ }^{2}} \\
& \alpha^{\prime}=180-\left(\alpha_{\min }+\beta_{\min }\right)-A \tan 2\left(S_{I V, 1}, C_{I V, 1}\right) \\
& S_{I V, 1}=\frac{\overline{P_{o c} P_{2}}}{2 r} \sin \left\{A \tan 2\left(S_{I V, 2}, \quad C_{I V, 2}\right)-\beta_{\min }\right\}, \\
& C_{I V, 1}=\sqrt{1-S_{I V, 1}{ }^{2}} \\
& S_{I V, 2}=\frac{l_{56}}{P_{o c} P_{2}} \sin \alpha_{m i n}, C_{I V, 2}=\sqrt{1-S_{I V, 2}} \\
& \overline{P_{o c} P_{2}}=\sqrt{l_{56}{ }^{2}+a^{2}-2 a l_{56} \cos \alpha_{\min }}
\end{aligned}
$$

The initial value of $l_{56}$ is determined from Eq. (40) $\cdot l_{56}$, when $x=a / 2$, is obtained as follows:

$$
l_{56, x=a / 2}=\frac{(a-2 r) \tan \beta_{\min }}{\sin \alpha_{\min }+\cos \alpha_{\min } \tan \beta_{\min }}
$$

## E. Zone V

This zone is a region determined by varying $\alpha$ in condition of $l_{12}=l_{12, \text { max }}$ and $l_{56}=l_{56, \max }$. The initial value of $\alpha$ is obtained from Eq. (43) of zone III, $\alpha$, when $x=a / 2$, can be obtained from ( $2 l_{56, \max } \cos \alpha=a-2 \gamma$ ). Therefore $P$ is calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{1}{2}\left(l_{12, \max } \cos \beta-l_{56, \max } \cos \alpha+a\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{1}{2}\left(l_{12, \max } \sin \beta+l_{56, \max } \sin \alpha\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta=A \tan 2\left(S_{V, 1}, C_{V, 1}\right)+A \tan 2\left(S_{V, 2}, S_{V, 2}\right) \\
& C_{V, 1}=\frac{1}{2 l_{12, \text { max }} \overline{P_{o c}} \overline{P_{2}}}\left(l_{12, \max }{ }^{2}+\overline{P_{o c} P_{2}}{ }^{2}-4 r^{2}\right), \\
& S_{V, 1}=\sqrt{1-C_{r, 1}^{2}} \\
& S_{V, 2}=\frac{l_{56, \text { max }}}{\overline{P_{o c} P_{2}}} \sin \alpha, \quad C_{V, 2}=\sqrt{1-S_{V, 2}^{2}} \\
& \overline{P_{o c} P_{2}}=\sqrt{a^{2}+l_{56, \text { max }}^{2}-2 a l_{56, \max } \cos \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.2 Y-Z plane

The measuring space in the $Y-Z$ plane is determined by $P_{3}$, and it is a maximized when $y_{i}$ $=y_{2}=y_{3}$ and $x_{3}=a / 2$. Figure 5 shows the model for measuring space analysis in the $\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{Z}$ plane.

## A. Zone I

This zone has a region of $\alpha=\left[\alpha_{\text {min }}, A \tan 2\right.$ $\left.\left(l_{34, \text { max }}, \sqrt{l_{3, \text { max }}^{2}-l_{34, \text { max }}{ }^{2}}\right)\right]$ in condition of $l_{3}=$ $l_{3, \max }, P$ is calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=\left(l_{3, \text { max }}+d\right) \cos \alpha  \tag{48}\\
& z=\left(l_{3, \text { max }}+d\right) \sin \alpha \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

## B. Zone II

This zone has a region of $\gamma^{\prime}=\left[0, a_{\min }\right]$ in condition of $l_{3}=l_{3, \max } . P$ is calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=l_{3, \max } \cos \alpha_{\min }+d \cos \gamma^{\prime}  \tag{50}\\
& y=l_{3, \max } \sin \alpha_{\min }+d \sin \gamma^{\prime} \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 5 The model for measuring space analysis in the $\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{Z}$ plane.

## C. Zone III

This zone has a region from $l_{3}=l_{3, \text { max }}$ to $y_{3}=b /$ 2 in condition of $\gamma^{\prime}=0^{\circ}$ and $\alpha=\alpha_{\text {min }} . P$ is calculated by varying $l_{3}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=l_{3} \cos \alpha_{\mathrm{min}}+d  \tag{52}\\
& z=l_{3} \sin \alpha_{\min } \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, $l_{3}$, when $y_{3}=b / 2$, is calculated as follows:

$$
l_{3, y_{3}=b / 2}=b\left(\frac{\tan \beta_{\min }}{\cos \alpha_{\min } \tan \beta_{\min }+\sin \alpha_{\min }}\right)
$$

## D. Zone IV

This zone has a region from $y_{3}=b / 2$ to $y=$ $b / 2$ having the same configuration with Zone III. $P$ is calculated by varying $l_{4}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=b-l_{3} \cos \beta_{\min }+d  \tag{54}\\
& z=l_{4} \sin \beta_{\min } \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $l_{4, y 3=b / 2}$ and $l_{4, y=b / 2}$ are calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{4, y_{3}=b / 2}=b\left(\frac{\sin \alpha_{\min }}{\sin \left(\alpha_{\min }+\beta_{\min }\right)}\right) \\
& l_{4, y=b / 2}=l_{4, y_{3}=b / 2}+\left(d / \cos \beta_{\min }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## E. Zone V

This zone has a region $\gamma^{\prime}=\left[a, 90^{\circ}\right]$ in condition of $y_{3}=b / 2, l_{3}=l_{3, \text { max }}$, and $l_{4}=l_{4, \text { max }} . P$ is calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=l_{3, \max } \cos \alpha+d \cos \gamma^{\prime}  \tag{56}\\
& z:=l_{3, \max } \sin \alpha+d \sin \gamma^{\prime} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha=A \tan 2\left(l_{34, \max }, \sqrt{l_{3, \max }^{2}-l_{34, \max }^{2}}\right)
$$

Table 2 Specifications of the wire parallel mechanism for simulations.

| Description | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dimension of the base plate $(a \times b)$ | $500 \times 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Dimension of the " $T$ " type $(r \times d)$ | $50 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Maximum wire length $\left(l_{i, \max }, i=1, \cdots, 6\right)$ | 1000 mm |
| Minimum angle of the wire group $\left(\alpha_{\min }, \beta_{\min }\right)$ | $40^{\circ}$ |
| Measuring resolution of wire | $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |

## 5. Simulations

Table 2 shows the specifications of the wire parallel mechanism used for simulations.

### 5.1 Kinematic analysis

The equations and method olosy were verified using three cases specified by the position and orientation, $P(x, y, z, \Psi, \theta, \phi)$ as shown below:

Case 1: P $\left(270.000,330.000,600.000,0.0^{\circ}, 0.0^{\circ}\right.$, $36.87^{\circ}$ )
Case 2: $\mathrm{P}\left(180.000,150.000,750.000,25.0^{\circ}, 10\right.$. $\left.0^{\circ}, 0.0^{\circ}\right)$
Case 3 : $\mathrm{P}\left(250.000,250.000,500.000,40.0^{\circ}, 50\right.$. $0^{\circ}, 60.0^{\circ}$ )
where the units of $x, y, z$ are $m m$, the units of $\Psi$,
Table 3 The solutions of inverse kinematics.

|  |  |  | unit : mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 |
| $l_{1}$ | 709.154 | 784.345 | 627.575 |
| $l_{2}$ | 672.978 | 845.693 | 649.372 |
| $l_{3}$ | 641.716 | 730.000 | 534.518 |
| $l_{4}$ | 576.021 | 782.157 | 543.185 |
| $l_{5}$ | 725.052 | 803.345 | 587.435 |
| $l_{6}$ | 644.748 | 863.344 | 563.246 |


(a) $\left(Z_{1}-Z_{2}\right)<0$

(b) $\left(Z_{1}-Z_{2}\right)>0$

Fig. 6 Comparison of the solutions in the Case 2.
$\theta, \phi$, which are roll, pitch and yaw angles, are degrees. The inverse kinematics is calculated from Eqs. (1)-(7) and listed in Table 3.

Table 4 shows the solutions of the forward kinematics obtained from Eqs. (17)-(35) using the results of Table 3 .

From the solutions derived in Table 4, we can see that the unique solution can be determined by


Fig. 7 Measuring space of the wire parallel mechanism in $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Z}$ plane.


Fig. 8 Measuring space of the wire parallel mechanism in $\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{Z}$ plane.

Table 4 The solutions of forward kinematics.

| Case | $Z_{1}-Z_{2}$ | Position ( mm ) | Euler angles (degree) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | (270.001, 330.000, 600.000) | ( - 0.007, | 0.0, | 36.870) |
| 2 | - | (263.180, 150.000, 752.740) | (24.999, | -15.932, | 0.0) |
|  | $+$ | (180.000, 150.000, 750.000) | (24.998, | 10.000, | 0.0) |
| 3 | - | (378.050, 250.000, 494.690) | (-26.153, | -18.767, | 36.007) |
|  | + | (250.000, 250.000, 500.000) | (39.995, | 50.001, | 59.996) |

choosing the inclined direction of the level vial, that is the sign of $z_{1}-z_{2}$. Figure 6 show the solutions having the robot attachment for Case 2.

### 5.2 The measuring space

From the specifications of Table $2, l_{12, \text { max }}$, $l_{34, \text { max }}$ and $l_{56, \text { max }}$ are calculated as follows:
$l_{12, \text { max }}=968.246(\mathrm{~mm}), \quad l_{34, \text { max }}=968.246(\mathrm{~mm})$, $l_{56, \text { max }}=968.246(\mathrm{~mm})$

The measuring space in the $X-Z$ plane and $Y$ $-Z$ plane are shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8, respectively.

## 6. Conclusions

This paper proposed a wire parallel mechanism for the full coordinate measuring of industrial robots. The nonlinear equations of the forward kinematics of a parallel mechanism are solved by the Newton-Raphson method, and the unique solution is determined from the geometric configuration of the mechanism. Through a measuring space analysis for the proposed mechanism, we confirmed that this wire parallel mechanism has a large measuring space. In conclusion, we believe that this mechanism is useful for full coordinate measuring for kinematic parameter error compensation of industrial robots.
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